NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Sacramento)

THE PEOPLE, C088429

Plaintiff and Respondent,

(Super. Ct. No. 96F03690)

v.

STEVEN RAY PERRIDON,

Defendant and Appellant.

Defendant Steven Ray Perridon committed or attempted 10 robberies during a period of two weeks in April 1996. He was convicted of nine counts of robbery, one count of attempted robbery, along with five strikes, a prior serious felony, and a prior prison term. He was sentenced to 256 years to life in state prison. We affirmed the judgment on appeal in an unpublished opinion on February 2, 1999.

On August 15, 2018, defendant filed a petition to recall his sentence pursuant to Propositions 36 and 47 and consideration for early parole pursuant to Proposition 57. The trial court denied the petition, finding defendant's crimes rendered him ineligible for relief under Propositions 36 and 47, and a prisoner was not entitled to a recall of sentence

proceeding under Proposition 57. (See *People v. Dynes* (2018) 20 Cal.App.5th 523, 528.) Defendant petitioned for rehearing, which the trial court denied on essentially the same grounds.

Defendant appeals.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment (order) is affirmed.

	<u>/s/</u>	
	Robie, J.	
We concur:		
Blease, Acting P. J.		
Blease, Acting P. J.		
/s/ Krause, J.		